PROCEEDINGS 3 1 (IN OPEN COURT; ALL PRESENT VIA TELECONFERENCE.) 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for a status 3 conference, Docket 20-CR-305, USA versus Jordan, et al. 4 As a reminder: Persons granted remote access to 5 proceedings are reminded of the general prohibition against 6 photographing, recording, and rebroadcasting of court 7 proceedings. Violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions, including removal of court issued media 8 9 credentials, restricted entry to future hearings, denial of 10 entry to future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the Court. 11 12 Counsel, please state your name for the record. 13 MR. McCONNELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Artie 14 McConnell, Mark Misorek and Miranda Gonzalez for the United 15 States. 16 MR. DIAZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Michael 17 Hueston, Mark DeMarco, John Diaz and Monica Nejathaim for 18 Mr. Jordan. 19 MS. KELLMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Sue Kellman 20 and Jacqueline Cistaro for Mr. Washington. 21 Happy new year. Good afternoon to you THE COURT: 22 all. 23 The defendants have seen that the Court is in 24 receipt of a motion filed by the government today requesting

that the Court proceed with an anonymous jury in this action.

25

PROCEEDINGS

4

1 The filing of this motion is what prompted this 2 status conference, which was unscheduled, as we have a status 3 conference scheduled for next Monday, but given the nature of 4 the motion, I had no choice but to convene you all. 5 My only regret with respect to the status conference 6 is that I was unable to get you all in front of me in person 7 so that the government could fully appreciate the level of 8 dissatisfaction that I have that they can wait until 9 January 3rd, 28 business days before the trial is scheduled in 10 this matter, and 40 days in total to file this motion. What on earth are you all thinking waiting until the 11 12 last minute? Let's start there. 13 Your Honor, this is Artie McConnell. MR. McCONNELL: 14 I apologize. I did not realize that this motion needed to be 15 made. 16 THE COURT: Is this your first rodeo with an 17 anonymous jury? Do you not have experience with anonymous 18 juries? I do, Your Honor, and the experience 19 MR. McCONNELL: 20 that I have is that it was no additional work, should I say. 21 THE COURT: Really. That's impossible. Because in 22 this district, as a general matter, summonses for anonymous 23 juries typically go out six weeks before the trial. 24 the typical time frame. 25 So I'm a little confused as to how you can say in

for a long time to determine whether I'm done speaking.

PROCEEDINGS

your experience it doesn't require any lead time and that

28 -- I'm talking. I promise you this is not the day for you
to cut me off. I would suggest that you pause, that you pause

Twenty-eight business days. Twenty-eight business days you would like for me to be able to give the defendants in this case an opportunity to respond to your motion, and then for me to be able to decide that motion, and then if I it decide in your favor, for our jury department to send out the summonses for an anonymous jury. Unless you're assuming, of course, that I'm going to grant everything that you ask for, and even if I do an anonymous jury a questionnaire wouldn't be involved. The hubris attached to the timing of this motion is astounding to me. In any event, I now need to deal with the motion because it is on my plate.

The defendants obviously you need an opportunity to respond. I'm not certain, quite candidly, how is it that I'm going to be able to give you all a sufficient amount of time to respond in a manner that practically would allow me to make a determination in this case in a sufficient amount of time to be able to proceed with an anonymous jury, even if I granted the government's motion.

But with that said, and in case the government is not around today, as I understand it because they are indeed prepping for the trial, which I assume the defense is doing,

What's your thinking? I'm assuming you have thought this out, right?

21

22

23

24

25

joint questionnaire?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  McCONNELL: We did, Judge. We put in the motion that we would not be --

LINDAD DANELCZVY DDD CSD CCD

MR. DeMARCO: Yes, Your Honor. Mark DeMarco, yes.

Do the defendants intend to oppose?

first of all, let me just make sure.

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

is the least thought out motion, in terms of timing, that I've ever seen.

So assuming I make a ruling, and assuming that ruling is for the purposes of planning is in the government's favor with respect to an anonymous jury, but, again, this is just for the purposes of planning, the government is prepared to provide it to, assuming the other side (audio interference) a proposed questionnaire on the date that the government — that the defendants would be providing their opposition to the Court? Is that what you indicated?

MR. McCONNELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: To the defendants. Assuming that you receive any proposed opposition to -- I mean, excuse me, proposed questionnaire, I'm assuming that -- I shouldn't assume -- you'd be able to meet and confer with sufficient time so I could have any proposed questionnaire by -- I'm on trial. Hold on. I have two trials in January.

This is to the government. The world does not revolve around your case. I have two trials, one the week of the 7th and one on the week of the 23rd? The 31st of January.

Mr. DeMarco?

MR. DeMARCO: Judge, I know for a fact, and everyone involved in this case, Ms. Kellman is starting a terrorism trial on Monday before Judge Garaufis. Because has she made it abundantly clear to everyone involved in this case for at

PROCEEDINGS

least six months, and it was an issue as far as scheduling this trial.

Now I'm not going to speak for Ms. Kellman, she's certainly able to speak for herself, I don't know how she is going to break away from a terrorism trial to focus on the questionnaire with us. But she can let the Court know if she's able to --

THE COURT: Ms. Kellman?

MS. KELLMAN: No, I think Mr. DeMarco has hit the nail on the head, Judge. I've been (audio interference) in this trial for two years, meaning the trial, since (audio interference) last minute, while I am literally going to be on trial on the terrorism case, which requires, you know, my attention, I don't see how it's responsible for me, Your Honor, to do it. We'll figure it out. But it's way below optimal and not fair to my client, frankly.

THE COURT: Yes, but, look, these are the issues that I'm confronting, is that if the government is -persuades me that an anonymous jury is necessary in this case, we're talking about security (audio interference), I would love to reflectively tell the government that they waited too long and they can go pound sand with respect to their request, but I'm not going to do that, given the nature of this request.

So what it comes down to, ultimately, is that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

11

have to make -- I will ultimately make a determination as to whether the government has persuaded me that an anonymous jury is necessary, and then I will certainly hear, in your opposition, any -- you know, the view of the defendants with respect to proceeding now. But the problem is if I believe that an anonymous jury is necessary, I wouldn't see the jury unless it's anonymous, which would leave two choices, right? You all hurry to get this done. I'll see if I can stand on my head and chew bubble gum to accomplish what I would have to accomplish as well, or we move the trial date. Those are the options, folks. Counsel? Mr. DeMarco? Defense? Sorry, I was talking and I was on mute. Probably the most effective I have been. MR. DeMARCO: (Audio interference) let's see what

you decide, Judge. We're both opposing, and the timing of this motion certainly is an issue that you'll have to consider in our opposition.

THE COURT: No, no, no, no (audio interference) you're not -- Mr. DeMarco, the question that I'm deciding on the motion is whether an anonymous jury is necessary.

You have to make substantive arguments in that That is a completely different question than what is regard. the consequence of this Court determining that an anonymous

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

12

jury is necessary, meaning the practical reality of making that determination exactly four weeks, which would be the earliest date I could possibly do it, before the trial. Less than 28 business days at that point. Twenty business days, 21 business days, before trial. That's the question. MR. DeMARCO: Each -- I think every defense lawyer involved in this case has trials stacked up because of the pandemic until June 2023. I know I do. So to move this trial, we're talking not weeks but months, maybe even a year --Oh, I know, Mr. DeMarco, your trial THE COURT: calendar is no different than mine, which is why I'm a bit apoplectic right now that the government would put us all in this position. I need the defendants to -- it's irrespective of what my decision is -- well, that's not true, obviously, if I said "no anonymous jury," but I need to know if I did say "anonymous jury," you all need to start thinking about this issue, because you may have to answer that question. MR. DeMARCO: Right, I'm pretty confident the team would like to move forward, because our client wishes to move forward for his day in court, but I can't speak for Mr. Washington's case. THE COURT: Ms. Kellman? Your Honor, I think that's the problem

MS. KELLMAN:

PROCEEDINGS

(audio interference) and Your Honor (audio interference) as best as anyone could, and I think perhaps Mr. DeMarco's suggestion that we have an opportunity perhaps to confer with each other and confer with the government and make the analysis.

THE COURT: Yes, why don't you all do that right now, and you'll see this reflected in an order.

I'm going to direct the defendants to respond to the government's motion by January 10th. But, you know, I have two trials in January myself, back-to-back trials scheduled throughout most of the year (audio interference) trials schedule through the fall, so...

MS. KELLMAN: I'm in the same position, Judge, because I am also scheduled through the fall.

THE COURT: Yes, so as a practical matter, the clerk's office needs four weeks to send out the summonses, but it can't do that until I make a decision. I can't make a decision until I've given the defense an opportunity to be heard, which gives me basically a day to rule on this -- on the motion. The question however then -- because, again, I'm telling you, a non-questionnaire anonymous jury is a nonstarter for me. The question is about your ability to meet and confer to provide the Court with a joint questionnaire.

You all should be talking about that in the coming days, and then let's set a status conference for the -- wait,

## LINDA D. DANELCZYK, RPR, CSR, CCR